Unite	ed States Patent 2	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF P Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	ademark Office	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/608,066	06/30/2000	Mekbib Astatke	0942.4990001/RWE/CEJ	1120	
7590 03/29/2002 Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox PLLC			EXAMINER		
Suite 600 1100 New York NW Washinton, DC 20005-3934			TAYLOR, JANELL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634 DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/6	08,066	ASTATKE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit		
		Jane	I Cleveland Taylor	1634		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears c	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) di period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. T CFR 1.136(a). In cation. ays, a reply within t ory period will apply , by statute, cause t	ho event, however, may a rep he statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONT he application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 Februa</u>	<u>nry 2002</u> .			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b))🛛 This acti	on is non-final.			
3) <mark></mark> Disposit	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 81 and 82 is/are pending in the	he application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn fro	m consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>81 and 82</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio ion Papers	on and/or elec	tion requirement.			
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or	b) objected to by th	e Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed c	on is: a) approved b) di	sapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are requi	ired in reply to t	his Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examine	er.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments hav	e been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office action	ional Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for				n).	
	a) The translation of the foreign lange Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisio	nal application has be	en received.	,	
Attachme	-	I	-			
1) 🔀 Noti 2) 🗌 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ailed Action .		

-

Application/Control Number: 09/608,066 Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a NON-FINAL rejection. Any rejection not reiterated is withdrawn. No

Response to Arguments follows, since the following is a new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 81-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goff et

al.

ź

3. Claim 81 is drawn to a method for preparing cDNA from mRNA, comprising:

mixing one or more mRNA templates with one or more reverse transcriptases, and with one or more double stranded nucleic acid inhibitors; and incubating said mixture under conditions sufficient to synthesize one or more cDNA molecules complementary to all or a portion of said templates. Claim 82 is drawn to the method of claim 81, wherein said mixing is accomplished under conditions sufficient to prevent nucleic acid synthesis and/or allow binding of said one or more double stranded nucleic acid inhibitors to said reverse transcriptase.

4. Goff et al teaches expression of enzymatically active reverse transcriptase. Goff teaches product analysis using human reticulocyte polyA(+) RNA as template. Specifically, Goff teaches reaction mixtures containing RNA and Actinomycin D (which binds preferentially to double stranded nucleic acids and inhibits the second strand

Application/Control Number: 09/608,066 Art Unit: 1634

synthesis), and synthesis with AMV reverse transcriptase. Specifically, Goff teaches "DNA synthesis was performed in the presence of actinomycin D. Actinomycin D binds preferentially to double-stranded nucleic acids and therefore inhibits the second strand synthesis." Also, Goff teaches the use of the reverse transcriptase pB6B15.23. "The major products of cDNA synthesis of reticulocyte polyA + RNA with pB6B15.23 reverse transcriptase in the presence of actinomycin D were the 600 base species." (Col. 26). Therefore, Goff anticipates the claims by teaching cDNA synthesis from mRNA templates in the presence of both a reverse transcriptase and a double stranded nucleic acid inhibitor. Furthermore, Goff teaches that nucleic acid synthesis of the second strand is inhibited (as taught in claim 82) by the use of actinomycin D.

Summary

Claims 81-82 are pending. Both claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). No claims are free of the prior art and no claims are allowable.

Conclusion

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application, including information on IDS forms, status requests, sequence listings, etc. should be directed to the Patent Analyst, Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janell Taylor Cleveland, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0273.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Application/Control Number: 09/608,066 Art Unit: 1634

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1634 via the PTO Fax Center using (703) 872-9306 or 872-9307 (after final). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989.)

Janell Taylor Cleveland

March 21, 2002

Gary J lònes

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600